Steven Alan Magritz January 26, 2018 A.D.

c/o Street 7016 0750 0001 1946 0861

City, Wisconsin

**NOTICE OF: UNLAWFUL CONDUCT BY SUBORDINATE ATTORNEYS,**

**AND, DEMAND FOR REMEDY via WRIT OF ERROR**

To: Patience D. Roggensack, supreme court chief justice

16 East, State Capitol

Madison, WI 53702

**TAKE NOTICE:** I, Steven Alan Magritz, charge attorneys Nancy A. Kopp, Julie Anne Rich, David W. Runke, and Mark Anthony Neuser, commissioners/appointees of the state supreme court, with fraudulent conversion, deprivation of rights with malice aforethought, deprivation of rights under color of law, conspiracy against rights, breach of fiduciary duty, misconduct in public office, obstruction of justice, denial of access to the court, denial of substantive due process, perjury of oath by a fiduciary of the public trust, violation of DR’s and EC’s, unlawful concealment from the justices[[1]](#footnote-2), abuse of power, and, defiance of, and rebellion against, the federal and state constitutions[[2]](#footnote-3).

**STATEMENT OF FACTS:** In the late afternoon of January 16, 2018 A.D., I filed a twelve page Writ of Error, signed under penalty of perjury, along with a one hundred and twenty-five page Appendix, with the clerk of the supreme court located at 110 E. Main St., Suite 215, Madison, Wisconsin. I filed seven original signature writs, one for each justice, with an Appendix for each justice, plus 2 sets of Writ and Appendix which the deputy clerk stated she “needed”. I filed the Writ of Error following the denial of my “AMENDED Petition For Common Law Writ of Habeas Corpus Ad Subjiciendum Pursuant to Article I, Section 8 of the constitution of Wisconsin, 1848 A.D.” by the COURT OF APPEALS, DISTRICT II.

The deputy clerk further stated she had never seen a Writ of Error, did not know what the fee might be, and that the clerk would send me a “bill” for any fee. In the afternoon of January 18, 2018 A.D., I called the office of the clerk and talked with Carrie Janto. Janto stated that the *court commissioners* had dismissed my Writ of Error and that a letter to that effect had just been mailed out to me. I asked Janto the names of the commissioners who had dismissed my writ, but she stated she did not know.

On January 22, 2018 A.D., I received an ***unsigned*** letter on “OFFICE OF THE CLERK” letterhead indicating that the “Commissioners” had fraudulently converted my Writ of Error, a constitutionally secured writ, to a discretionary statutory privilege called a “petition for review”, and then dismissed the created by fraud petition.

In the late afternoon of January 22 I called the office of the court commissioners and talked with receptionist Sonja M. Umberger. I introduced myself, and then asked to speak to the commissioners, first Kopp, then Rich, then Runke, then Neuser. I was given three different excuses for not be able to talk to the four commissioners.

In the afternoon of January 24, 2018 A.D., I again called the office of the court commissioners. As I asked to speak to the various commissioners, Umberger’s response became abrupt and her tone of voice became ice cold. Umberger hung up on me when I asked if she could try ringing me through to Dave Runke.

**TAKE NOTICE:** In *State ex rel Haas v. McReynolds,* 252 Wis. 2d 133 (2002), the court say (¶ 15) that a writ of error is the proper way to review the denial of a petition for habeas corpus. Further, the aforesaid attorneys were ***twice[[3]](#footnote-4)*** given notice and warning that tortfeasors would be charged with trespass as an *intentional* tortfeasor acting with *malice aforethought*, but they ***chose[[4]](#footnote-5)*** to ignore the notices, act contrary to *Haas*, fraudulently convert my Writ of Error to a petition for review, thus *knowingly* violate my constitutionally secured right to a writ of error under Article I, sec. 21 of the state Constitution.[[5]](#footnote-6)

These attorneys spat on the rule of law, acted dishonestly, without integrity, and in bad faith. Their unlawful acts impugn the reputation, character and integrity of the supreme court itself and destroys the *perception* that people might have that the court will act justly.

**TAKE NOTICE:** Justices of the supreme court are required to be bound by oath to support the constitution of the United States and the constitution of the state of Wisconsin by Article IV Section 28[[6]](#footnote-7) of the state constitution. A copy of your oath is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference. I accept your oath as a binding contract that you will protect my God-given rights, which are secured by both federal and state constitutions.

**DEMAND FOR REMEDY**

I demand that the justices of the supreme court of Wisconsin *instanter* provide me remedy as set forth on page twelve of my “Writ of Error, generally, and Order for Remedy”.

Dated this \_\_\_\_\_ day of January, 2018 A.D.

By: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

 Steven Alan Magritz

Cc: file, others

1. See Presidential Order dated December 20, 2017 with regard to corruption. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
2. See sections three and four of the Fourteenth Amendment for the consequences of rebellion against the Constitution. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
3. See copies of “Praecipe to the clerk” and “Writ of Error, generally, and Order for Remedy”, enclosed herewith and incorporated herein by reference as if set forth at length herein. Original signature Writ of Error with 125 page appendix, ***withheld*** from the justices by the attorneys, awaits each justice in the office of the clerk of court. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
4. See attached “Order”, incorporated herein by reference. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
5. “Writs of error **shall never** be prohibited …” [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
6. “Members of the legislature, and all officers, executive and judicial, except such inferior officers as may be by law exempted, shall before they enter upon the duties of their respective offices, take and subscribe an oath or affirmation to support the constitution of the United States and the constitution of the state of Wisconsin, and faithfully to discharge the duties of their respective offices to the best of their ability.” [↑](#footnote-ref-7)